

EQUIVARIANT SCHUBERT CALCULUS OF COXETER GROUPS

SHIZUO KAJI

ABSTRACT. We consider an equivariant extension for Hiller's Schubert calculus on the coinvariant ring of a finite Coxeter group. In particular, we give a type uniform construction of polynomial representatives for the equivariant Schubert classes in the equivariant cohomology of a flag variety.

This note is an extended and corrected version of [13].

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note, all the cohomology ring is with the real coefficients unless otherwise stated. The primary goal of *Schubert calculus* is to describe the cohomology ring structure of the flag variety with respect to a distinguished basis consisting of the *Schubert classes*. Among many strategies for this subject is to reformulate the topological problem in an algebraic fashion.

Let G be a connected complex Lie group, B be its Borel sub-group. Then the homogeneous space G/B is called the *flag variety*. A family of cohomology classes indexed by the Weyl group W of G called the Schubert classes form a basis for the cohomology $H^*(G/B)$. On the other hand, $H^*(G/B)$ can be identified with the *coinvariant ring* of W , i.e. the polynomial ring divided by the ideal generated by the invariant polynomials of W . The relation between those two presentation of $H^*(G/B)$ was studied independently by [2] and [7]. Based on it, Hiller ([10]) rephrased and extended Schubert calculus purely in terms of the coinvariant ring of any finite Coxeter group including non-crystallographic ones, by defining a set of basis polynomials in the coinvariant ring corresponding to the Schubert classes.

We can impose another structure on G/B : it admits the canonical action of the maximal torus T and we can consider the equivariant cohomology with respect to this action. In this note, we investigate the equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(G/B)$ and develop an equivariant version of Hiller's Schubert calculus for the double coinvariant ring of a finite Coxeter group W . The main result is the construction of a Hiller-type double schubert polynomial given in a uniform manner for any finite Coxeter groups (see Definition 4.3).

The organization of this note is as follows: In §2 and §3, we recall basic notions of Schubert calculus. In §4, we define the double coinvariant ring for a finite Coxeter group and its equivariant Schubert classes. Using this definition, we prove Chevalley rule in §5, and a symmetry property in §6. We observe a relation between ordinary and equivariant setting in §7. §8 is devoted to examples.

I would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments.

Date: January 23, 2015.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57T15; Secondary 14M15.

Key words and phrases. equivariant cohomology, flag variety, Schubert calculus, Coxeter group.

This work was supported by KAKENHI, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 22740051, and Bilateral joint research project with Russia (2010-2012), *Toric topology with applications in combinatorics*.

2. COXETER GROUPS

Here we collect some well-known facts on Coxeter groups, which are necessary in the later sections. Readers refer to [6] or [11] for detail.

A finite Coxeter group W is a generalization to a Weyl group of a Lie group. It is defined by generators and relations as:

$$W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \mid (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = e \rangle,$$

where $m_{ii} = 1$ and $2 \leq m_{ij} < \infty$. The number of generators n is called the *rank* of W . The complete classification for irreducible Coxeter groups is known and W is one of the

- (1) crystallographic groups $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8$, which correspond to the Weyl groups of Lie groups, or
- (2) non-crystallographic groups $I_2(n), H_3, H_4$.

A finite Coxeter group of rank n coincides with a finite reflection group on \mathbb{R}^n : each generator s_i can be regarded as the reflection through the hyperplane defined by $\alpha_i = 0$, where $\alpha_i \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is called the *simple root*. $\beta \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is called the root if $\beta = w(\alpha_i)$ for some simple root α_i and $w \in W$. If a root β is a linear combination of simple roots with non-negative coefficients, it is called a *positive root*. The reflection through the hyperplane defined by $\beta = 0$ for a positive root β is denoted by s_β , i.e. $s_\beta = w s_i w^{-1} \in W$.

We fix this standard representation on \mathbb{R}^n for each W and the action of W on the symmetric algebra over $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, which we denote by $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, is defined by extending the representation. Namely, we define $w(f(t)) = f(w^{-1}(t))$ for $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ and $w \in W$.

The following definitions are essential for our purpose:

- Definition 2.1** (see [6, 11]).
- (1) The length $l(w) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $w \in W$ is the minimal length of the presentation of w by a product of s_1, \dots, s_n , which is called a *reduced word* for w .
 - (2) There is a unique element $w_0 \in W$ of the maximum length called the *longest element*.
 - (3) We denote $w <_\beta v$ iff $w = s_\beta v$ and $l(w) < l(v)$.
 - (4) The (strong) Bruhat order $w \leq v$ is the transitive closure relation of $w <_\beta v$.

The following Lemma on the Bruhat order is used frequently in our discussion.

Lemma 2.2 (Exchange condition, see [6, 11]). For any reduced word $v = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{l(v)}}$, $w <_\beta v$ iff $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_{l(v)}}$ and $\beta = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ for some $1 \leq k \leq l(v)$.

In particular, for a fixed $v \in W$, the number of positive roots β such that $w <_\beta v$ for some $w \in W$ is equal to $l(v)$.

3. SCHUBERT CALCULUS

In this section, we briefly recall the result by Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand ([2]), which studies the ordinary cohomology of flag varieties. Let G be a connected complex Lie group of rank n , B be its Borel sub-group. Then the (right quotient) homogeneous space G/B is known to be a smooth projective variety with the T -action induced by the left multiplication and called the (generalized) *flag variety*. Denote by Π^+ the set of positive roots and by $\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset \Pi^+$ the set of simple roots. Then the Weyl group W of G is generated by the simple reflections s_1, \dots, s_n corresponding to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Let B_- be the Borel sub-group opposite to B so that $B \cap B_-$ is the maximal algebraic torus. The Bruhat decomposition (see for example [4]) $G = \coprod_{w \in W} B_- w B$ induces a left T -stable cell decomposition $G/B = \coprod_{w \in W} B_- w B/B$. The class Z_w corresponding to the (dual of the) cell $B_- w B/B$ is called the *Schubert class* and having degree $2l(w)$. Since the cell decomposition involves even cells only, we have

$$H^*(G/B) = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \langle Z_w \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by the classical theorem by Borel [5], the cohomology ring has the form of so-called coinvariant ring $\mathbb{R}_W[x]$ of W :

$$H^*(G/B) = \mathbb{R}_W[x] := \frac{\mathbb{R}[x]}{(\mathbb{R}^+[x]^W)},$$

where $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $(\mathbb{R}^+[x]^W)$ is the ideal generated by the positive degree invariant polynomials. Here we regard $\mathbb{R}[x] \cong H^*(BT)$ as the symmetric algebra over the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}^* , and the generators have degree 2.

In the fundamental work by Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand ([2]), the relationship between the two presentations of $H^*(G/B)$ are revealed using the *divided difference operators*.

Definition 3.1 ([2]). For a simple root α_i , define $\Delta_i : \mathbb{R}_W[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_W[x]$ of degree -2 as

$$\Delta_i f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(s_i(x))}{-\alpha_i(x)}.$$

For a reduced word $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \in W$, define

$$\Delta_w = \Delta_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{i_k}.$$

Then it is independent of the choice of a reduced word for $w \in W$.

Theorem 3.2 ([2]). (1) A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}_W[x]$ represents the cohomology class

$$\sum_{w \in W} \Delta_w(f)(0) Z_w.$$

(2) A polynomial representative $\sigma_w(x)$ of Z_w is obtained by

$$\sigma_w(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{|W|}}{|W|} \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+} \beta(x) & (w = w_0) \\ \Delta_{w^{-1}w_0} \sigma_{w_0}(x) & (w \neq w_0) \end{cases}$$

The main problem in Schubert calculus is to give an algorithm for expressing the cup product of two Schubert classes by a linear combination of Schubert classes

$$Z_u \cup Z_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{uv}^w Z_w, \quad c_{uv}^w \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where c_{uv}^w is called the *structure constant*. By the previous Theorem, this problem has an equivalent in the coinvariant ring setting. This point of view was pursued by Hiller ([10]) as follows:

Definition 3.3 ([10]). Let W be a finite Coxeter group and $\mathbb{R}_W[x]$ be its coinvariant ring. Define Schubert classes in $\mathbb{R}_W[x]$ as

$$\sigma_w(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{|W|}}{|W|} \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+} \beta & (w = w_0) \\ \Delta_{w^{-1}w_0} \sigma_{w_0}(x) & (w \neq w_0) \end{cases}$$

Schubert classes form a vector space basis for $\mathbb{R}_W[x]$, so now the problem of structure constants is translated into an algebraic one, that is, to find an algorithm for c_{uv}^w in the following equation

$$\sigma_u \cdot \sigma_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{uv}^w \sigma_w, \quad c_{uv}^w \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hiller showed, for example, the Chevalley rule in this setting.

4. EQUIVARIANT SCHUBERT CALCULUS

To generalize Hiller's Schubert calculus, what we concern is the (Borel) T -equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(G/B)$ with respect to the T -action induced by the left multiplication on G/B . (For a more detailed treatment in the topological aspect of our argument, readers refer to [12].) We consider $H_T^*(G/B)$ as an algebra over $H_T^*(pt) = \mathbb{R}[t] = \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ by the equivariant map $G/B \rightarrow pt$. Just as in the case of ordinary cohomology, $H_T^*(G/B)$ is a free $\mathbb{R}[t]$ -module generated by Schubert classes, i.e.

$$H_T^*(G/B) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n] \langle Z_w \rangle.$$

On the other hand, the following description for the equivariant cohomology is well-known:

Proposition 4.1. As $\mathbb{R}[t]$ -algebras,

$$H_T^*(G/B) \cong \frac{\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I_W},$$

where I_W is the ideal generated by $f(t_1, \dots, t_r) - f(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ for all W -invariant polynomials f of positive degree.

Proof. The Borel construction associated to the T -action on G/B fits in the following pull-back diagram:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G/B & \xlongequal{\quad} & G/B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ET \times_T G/B & \longrightarrow & EG \times_G G/B = BT \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BT & \longrightarrow & BG. \end{array}$$

The Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence converges to $H_T^*(G/B) \cong H^*(ET \times_T G/B)$ with the E_2 -term $\text{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(H^*(BT), H^*(BT))$. Recall from [5] that $H^*(BG) \cong H^*(BT)^W$. Since $H^*(BT)$ is free over $H^*(BG)$, there are only non-trivial entries in the 0-th column and so $E_2 \cong H_T^*(G/B)$ as $H^*(BT)$ -algebras. Here $E_2 \cong \text{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(H^*(BT), H^*(BT))$ is just the tensor product $H^*(BT) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} H^*(BT)$. \square

We call $\frac{\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I_W}$ the *double coinvariant ring* of W and denote it by $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$.

The equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(G/B)$ has yet another description by *GKM-theory* [9]. The fixed points set of the T -action is $\{wB/B \mid w \in W\}$ so we have the localization map

$$H_T^*(G/B) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{w \in W} i_w^*} \bigoplus_{w \in W} H_T^*(wB/B) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} H^*(BT) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{R}[t].$$

It is known that this is an injection and the image is described by a certain combinatorial condition called GKM condition. The relation between these three descriptions are summarized as follows.

Proposition 4.2 ([16]). (1) For a Schubert class $Z_w \in H_T^*(G/B)$,

$$i_v^*(Z_w) = \begin{cases} 0 & (l(v) \leq l(w) \text{ and } v \neq w) \\ \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists w' <_{\beta} w} \beta & (v = w). \end{cases}$$

(2) For $f(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$, $i_w^*(f(t; x)) = f(t; w^{-1}(x))$.

Proof. (1) Since $v \in Z_w \Leftrightarrow v \geq w$, $i_v^*(Z_w) = 0$ unless $v \geq w$. Let $i_w : Z_w \rightarrow G/B$ be the inclusion. By push-pull formula, $i_v^*(Z_w) = i_v^* \circ (i_w)_*(w) = c_T(N_w(Z_w))$, where $c_T(N_w(Z_w))$ is the equivariant top Chern class of the normal bundle of Z_w at w , which is equal to the product of the weight of the T -representation on the normal bundle. The tangent bundle of G/B at w splits into one-dimensional T -representations $\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Pi^+} w(\beta)$. Hence,

$$N_w(Z_w) = \bigoplus_{\{\beta \in \Pi^+ \mid w(\beta) \in -\Pi^+\}} \beta = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists w' <_{\beta} w} \beta.$$

(2) It is well-known that there is a diffeomorphism $G/B \cong K/T$, where K is the maximal compact subgroup of G . We consider the following right action of W on the Borel construction $ET \times_T K/T$:

$$\begin{aligned} (ET \times_T K/T) \times W &\rightarrow (ET \times_T K/T) \\ [e, gT] \times w &\mapsto [e, w^{-1}gT]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this action is well-defined because $w \in W = N(T)/T$ (although it is not well-defined on K/T .) Consider the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K/T & \xlongequal{\quad} & K/T & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ ET \times_T K/T & \xrightarrow{p_2} & EK \times_K K/T & \xlongequal{\quad} & BT \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ K/T \hookrightarrow & BT & \longrightarrow & BK & \end{array}$$

Since $p_1([e, gT]) = [e] \in BT$, $p_2([e, gT]) = [e, gT] = [g^{-1}e, T] = [g^{-1}e] \in ET \times_K K/T \cong BT$, the W -action is compatible with the standard right action on the second factor of $BT \times BT$ via $(p_1, p_2) : ET \times_T K/T \rightarrow BT \times BT$. Hence, it induces a left W -action in the equivariant cohomology as

$$w(f(t; x)) = f(t; w^{-1}(x)).$$

Moreover, since $i(w) = [e, wT] = [e, T] \cdot w$, we have $i_w^*(f(t; x)) = w \cdot i_e^*(f(t; x)) = f(t; w^{-1}(x))$. □

Now just as Hiller did, we bring equivariant Schubert calculus into the double coinvariant ring setting for any finite Coxeter group W . Using the divided difference operators extended by $\mathbb{R}[t]$ -linearity, we can define the Schubert classes in $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$.

Definition 4.3. *Let W be a finite Coxeter group. For $w \in W$, we define the partition set of w as*

$$P_i(w) = \{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i) \in W^i \mid w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_i = w, l(w_k) > 0 \forall k, l(w_1) + \dots + l(w_i) = l(w)\}$$

Then the Schubert classes in $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ are defined to be

$$\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(t; x) = \sigma_{w_0}(x) + \sum_{v \in W} \sum_{i=1}^{l(w_0 v^{-1})} \sum_{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i) \in P_i(w_0 v^{-1})} (-1)^i \sigma_{w_1}(t) \sigma_{w_2}(t) \cdots \sigma_{w_i}(t) \sigma_v(x) \in \mathbb{R}_W(t; x),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) = \Delta_{w^{-1}w_0} \mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(x) = \sigma_w(x) + \sum_{v < w} \sum_{i=1}^{l(wv^{-1})} \sum_{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i) \in P_i(wv^{-1})} (-1)^i \sigma_{w_1}(t) \sigma_{w_2}(t) \cdots \sigma_{w_i}(t) \sigma_v(x),$$

where σ_w is Hiller's Schubert class given in Definition 3.3.

Notice that $\mathfrak{S}_w(t; t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (w \neq e) \\ 1 & (w = e) \end{cases}$ since $\sigma_e(x) = 1$ and we can rewrite for $w (\neq e)$

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l(w)} \sum_{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i) \in P_i(w)} (-1)^i \sigma_{w_1}(t) \sigma_{w_2}(t) \cdots \sigma_{w_{i-1}}(t) (\sigma_{w_i}(t) - \sigma_{w_i}(x)).$$

It is easily seen that

$$(\Delta_v \mathfrak{S}_w)(t; t) = \mathfrak{S}_{wv^{-1}}(t; t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (v \neq w) \\ 1 & (v = w) \end{cases}$$

and is the key property of the definition.

Remark 4.4. *A representative for an element in $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ is determined up to the ideal I_W . We can define another polynomial by replacing Hiller's Schubert class σ_w by another Schubert polynomial when W is the Weyl group of a Lie group G . For example, when W is of type A_{n-1} , we can take Lascoux and Schützenberger's Schubert polynomial*

$$\sigma_w(x) = \Delta_{w^{-1}w_0} x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}.$$

Then we obtain their double Schubert polynomials

$$\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) = \Delta_{w^{-1}w_0} \prod_{i+j < n} (x_i - t_j).$$

These Schubert classes form a free $\mathbb{R}[t]$ -basis for $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$.

Theorem 4.5.

$$\mathbb{R}_W[t; x] \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n] \langle \mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) \rangle$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{R}[t]$ is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $\mathbb{R}[t]^+$ with $\mathbb{R}[t]/\mathbb{R}[t]^+ = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]/\mathbb{R}[t]^+\mathbb{R}_W[t; x] = \mathbb{R}_W[x]$. We apply the following form of Nakayama's Lemma [1, Prop. 2.8]:

$f_1(t; x), \dots, f_N(t; x)$ generate $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ over $\mathbb{R}[t] \Leftrightarrow f_1(0; x), \dots, f_N(0; x)$ generate $\mathbb{R}_W[x]$ over \mathbb{R} . Since $\mathfrak{S}_w(0; x) = \sigma_w(x)$ generate $\mathbb{R}_W[x]$ over \mathbb{R} , $\{\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) \mid w \in W\}$ generate $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ over $\mathbb{R}[t]$.

We now show that $\{\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) \mid w \in W\}$ are free over $\mathbb{R}[t]$. Assume that $\sum_{v \in W} c_v(t) \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x) = 0$. For any $w \in W$, applying Δ_w and evaluating at $x = t$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{v \in W} c_v(t) \cdot (\Delta_w \mathfrak{S}_v)(t; t) = c_w(t).$$

□

In fact, there is a formula to express any $f(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ as a $\mathbb{R}[t]$ -linear combination of Schubert classes:

Proposition 4.6. For $f(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$,

$$f(t; x) = \sum_{w \in W} (\Delta_w(f))(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(t; x) = \sum_{v \in W} c_v(t) \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x)$. Then $\Delta_w(f)(t; x) = \sum_{v \in W} c_v(t) \cdot \Delta_w(\mathfrak{S}_v)(t; x)$.

Since $(\Delta_w \mathfrak{S}_v)(t; t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (v \neq w) \\ 1 & (v = w) \end{cases}$, we have $\Delta_w(f)(t; t) = c_w(t)$. □

To show some properties of $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$, it's convenient to recall the definition of *GKM-ring*:

Definition 4.7 ([9]). A subring of $\bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{R}[t]$ called the *GKM-ring* for W is defined as:

$$F_W := \left\{ \bigoplus_{w \in W} h_w(t) \in \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{R}[t] \mid h_w(t) - h_v(t) \text{ is divisible by } \beta(t) \in \Pi^+ \text{ when } w <_\beta v \right\}.$$

A $\mathbb{R}[t]$ -module map called the *localization map* $\bigoplus_{w \in W} i_w^* : \mathbb{R}_W[t; x] \rightarrow F_W$ is defined as $i_w^*(f(t; x)) = f(t; w^{-1}(t))$. Note that this map is well-defined because $i_w^*(f(t; x)) - i_{s_\beta w}^*(f(t; x)) = f(t; w^{-1}(t)) - f(t; w^{-1}s_\beta(t))$ is divisible by $\beta(t)$.

Just as the cohomological localization map, it is injective.

Lemma 4.8. $\bigoplus_{w \in W} i_w^* : \mathbb{R}_W[t; x] \rightarrow F_W$ is injective.

Proof. Take $f(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ such that $i_w^*(f) = 0$ ($\forall w \in W$). By the definition of the divided difference operator, $i_w^*(\Delta_v(f)) = 0$ ($\forall v, w \in W$), in particular, $i_w^*(\Delta_v(f)) = \Delta_v(f)(t; t) = 0$. Hence by Proposition 4.6, we have $f(t; x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$. □

We show that the Schubert classes are characterized through the localization map.

Proposition 4.9.

$$i_v(\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)) = \begin{cases} 0 & (l(v) \leq l(w) \text{ and } v \neq w) \\ \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists w' <_\beta w} \beta(t) & (v = w). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if $h_w(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W^{2l(w)}[t; x]$ satisfies $h_w(t; x) = 0$ when $l(v) \leq l(w)$ and $v \neq w$, then $h_w = c \mathfrak{S}_w$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} i_v^*(\Delta_i f(t; x)) &= i_v^* \left(\frac{f(t; x) - f(t; s_i(x))}{-\alpha_i(x)} \right) \\ &= \frac{f(t; v^{-1}(t)) - f(t; s_i v^{-1}(t))}{-\alpha_i(v^{-1}(t))} \\ &= \frac{i_v^* f(t; x) - i_{v s_i}^* f(t; x)}{-\alpha_i(v^{-1}(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

We induct on $l(v)$. When $v = e$, we have $i_e^* \mathfrak{S}_w = 0$ for $w \neq e$. Assume that $i_v^* \mathfrak{S}_w = 0$ and $l(v s_i) = l(v) + 1$. Then we have

$$i_{v s_i}^* \mathfrak{S}_w = \alpha_i(v^{-1}(t)) i_v^*(\Delta_i \mathfrak{S}_w) + i_v^* \mathfrak{S}_w = \alpha_i(v^{-1}(t)) i_v^*(\mathfrak{S}_{w s_i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (v \neq w s_i) \\ v(\alpha_i(t)) \prod_{\exists u <_{\beta v} \beta(t)} \beta(t) & (v = w s_i) \end{cases}$$

again by induction on $l(w)$. Note that $v = w s_i$ implies $s_{v(\alpha_i)} w = w s_i$. Hence, by Exchange condition we have

$$i_v(\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)) = \begin{cases} 0 & (l(v) \leq l(w) \text{ and } v \neq w) \\ \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists w' <_{\beta w} \beta(t)} \beta(t) & (v = w), \end{cases}$$

Let $h_w(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W^{2l(w)}[t; x]$ such that $h_w(t; x) = 0$ when $l(v) \leq l(w)$ and $v \neq w$. Since $i_v^*(h(t; x)) - i_{s_{\beta w}}^*(h(t; x))$ is divisible by $\beta(t)$ and any two distinct positive roots are linearly independent, $i_w^*(h_w(t; x))$ is divisible by $\prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists w' <_{\beta w} \beta(t)} \beta(t)$. By degree reason, $i_w^*(h_w(t; x)) = c \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists w' <_{\beta w} \beta(t)} \beta(t)$. Put $h'_w(t; x) = h_w(t; x) - c \mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)$ then $i_v^*(h'_w(t; x)) = 0$ if $l(v) \leq l(w)$. Let $u \in W$ be a minimal length element such that $i_u^*(h'_w(t; x)) \neq 0$. Then by the same argument above, $i_u^*(h'_w(t; x))$ should be divisible by $\prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists v <_{\beta u} \beta(t)} \beta(t)$. But $2l(u) > 2l(w)$ and by degree reason, this leads to contradiction. By the injectivity of the localization map, we have $h'_w(t; x) = 0$, i.e. $h_w(t; x) = c \mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)$. \square

This and Proposition 4.2 assert that the Schubert class $\mathfrak{S}_w \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ we consider in the algebraic setting coincides with the Schubert class $Z_w \in H_T^*(G/B)$ in the topological setting when W is the Weyl group of a Lie group.

There are two interesting Corollaries to this Proposition.

Corollary 4.10. *The localization map gives an isomorphism between the GKM-ring F_W and the double coinvariant ring $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$.*

Proof. We only have to show surjectivity. Let $\bigoplus_{w \in W} h_w(t; x) \in F_W$. Take $v \in W$ such that $h_v(t; x) \neq 0$ and $h_u(t; x) = 0$ for $l(u) < l(v)$. Then the same argument as in the proof of the previous Proposition, $h_v(t; x)$ should be divisible by $\prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists u <_{\beta v} \beta(t)} \beta(t)$. Then put $\bigoplus_{w \in W} h'_w(t; x) = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \left(h_w(t; x) - \frac{h_w(t; x)}{\prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+, \exists u <_{\beta v} \beta(t)} \beta(t)} \cdot i_w^*(\mathfrak{S}_v(t; x)) \right) \in F_W$ so that $h'_v(t; x) = 0$. Iterating this process shows that $\bigoplus_{w \in W} i_w^*$ is surjective. \square

Corollary 4.11 (c.f. [3, 14]). *Let $v = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{l(v)}}$ be a reduced word. The localization image of a Schubert class is determined to be*

$$i_v^*(\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)) = \sum_8 \beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_{l(w)}}$$

where $\beta_{j_k} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{j_k-1}} \alpha_{i_{j_k}}$ and the sum runs over $(1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{l(w)} \leq l(v))$ such that $s_{i_{j_1}} \cdots s_{i_{j_{l(w)}}} = w$.

Proof. Using the Exchange condition, one can easily see that the right hand side resides in the GKM ring F_W . Because $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x] \cong F_W$, there is a lift $h(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ which satisfies $i_v^*(h(t; x)) = \sum \beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_{l(w)}}$. This $h(t; x)$ trivially meets the condition in the previous Proposition. (In particular, the right hand side is independent of the choice for a reduced word.) \square

5. CHEVALLEY RULE

Here we concern with the equivariant version of the structure constant $c_{uv}^w(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$, where

$$\mathfrak{S}_u \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{uv}^w(t) \mathfrak{S}_w.$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}_w(0; x) = \sigma_w(x)$, the equivariant version $c_{uv}^w(t)$ is a polynomial whose constant term is the ordinary structure constant c_{uv}^w .

Chevalley rule, which computes the product of any Schubert class and that of degree two, is well-known for the equivariant cohomology of flag varieties (see [14]). It can be slightly extended to this double coinvariant ring setting. First we identify the degree two Schubert classes.

Lemma 5.1.

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}(t; x) = \omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x),$$

where the linear form $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ is the fundamental weight defined by $\langle \alpha_j, \omega_i \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq j) \\ |\alpha_j|^2/2 & (i = j) \end{cases}$.

Proof. Since $\sigma_{s_i}(x) = -\omega_i(x)$, the assertion follows from the equation (4.2). \square

Proposition 5.2 (Chevalley rule, c.f. [14]).

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_i} \mathfrak{S}_w = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi^+, l(ws_\beta) = l(w)+1} \frac{2\langle \beta, \omega_i \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \mathfrak{S}_{ws_\beta} + (\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(w^{-1}(t))) \mathfrak{S}_w$$

To show the Proposition, we need the following direct but useful Lemma.

Lemma 5.3 ([2]). *The divided difference operators satisfy the following Leibniz rule:*

$$\Delta_i(f(t; x)g(t; x)) = \Delta_i(f(t; x))g(t; x) + f(t; s_i(x))\Delta_i(g(t; x)), \quad f(t; x), g(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x].$$

For a reduced word $v = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{l(v)}}$ and a set $L \subset \{1, \dots, l(v)\}$, we define a subword v^L of v by $s_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} s_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2} \cdots s_{i_{l(v)}}^{\epsilon_{l(v)}}$, where $\epsilon_j = \begin{cases} 0 & (j \notin L) \\ 1 & (j \in L) \end{cases}$. Define Δ'_L as the composite $\phi_{i_1} \circ \phi_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_{l(v)}}$,

where $\phi_{i_j} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{i_j} & (j \notin L) \\ s_{i_j} & (j \in L) \end{cases}$. Put $\Phi_v^w = \sum_L \Delta'_L$, where L runs over subsets of $\{1, \dots, l(v)\}$ such that $v^L = w$. Then by iterating the Leibniz rule, we have

$$\Delta_v(\mathfrak{S}_u \mathfrak{S}_w)(t; t) = \Phi_v^w(\mathfrak{S}_u)(t; t) = \Phi_v^u(\mathfrak{S}_w)(t; t).$$

So by Proposition 4.6, we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_u \mathfrak{S}_w = \sum_{v \geq w} \Phi_v^w(\mathfrak{S}_u)(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x).$$

Proof of Chevalley rule. By the argument above, we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_i} \mathfrak{S}_w = \sum_{v \geq w} \Phi_v^w(\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x))(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x).$$

By degree reason, $\Phi_v^w(\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x))(t; t)$ vanish unless $v = w$ or $l(v) = l(w) + 1$. For $v = w$, $\Phi_w^w(\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x))(t; t) = (\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(w^{-1}(x)))(t; t) = (\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(w^{-1}(t)))$. For $l(v) = l(w) + 1$, we can write $v = ws_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Pi^+$. Then by Exchange condition, we have

$$\Phi_v^w(\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x)) = \Delta_\beta(\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x)) = \frac{\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(x) - (\omega_i(t) - \omega_i(s_\beta(x)))}{-\beta(x)} = \frac{2\langle \beta, \omega_i \rangle}{|\beta|^2}$$

since $u^{-1}\Delta_{u(\alpha_i)} = \Delta_i u^{-1}$. □

6. SYMMETRY BETWEEN t AND x

As one can see from (4.1), $H_T^*(G/B) \cong H^*(BT \times_{BG} BT)$ has a symmetry. This symmetry become clearer when we view it from the algebraic setting. The involution on $\mathbb{R}[t; x]$ defined by switching the variables x_i and t_i induces the involution τ on $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ since I_W is stable.

What we show in this section is the following symmetry of the Schubert classes:

Proposition 6.1. $\tau(\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x)) = \mathfrak{S}_w(x; t) = (-1)^{l(w)} \mathfrak{S}_{w^{-1}}(t; x)$.

To show the Proposition, we use the *left divided difference operator* $\delta_w = (-1)^{l(w)} \tau \circ \Delta_w \circ \tau$. It is obvious that Δ_v and δ_w commute for any $w, v \in W$. The following Lemma explains why δ_w is called the left divided difference operator.

Lemma 6.2. $\delta_w \mathfrak{S}_v = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{wv} & (l(wv) = l(v) - l(w)) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6 and the commutativity,

$$\delta_i \mathfrak{S}_v = \sum_{u \in W} (\delta_i \Delta_u(\mathfrak{S}_v))(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(t; x) = \sum_{u \in W} (\delta_i \mathfrak{S}_{vu^{-1}})(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(t; x).$$

On the other hand, for $u < v$ we have

$$(\delta_i \mathfrak{S}_{vu^{-1}})(t; t) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{vu^{-1}}(t; t) - \mathfrak{S}_{vu^{-1}}(s_i t; t)}{\alpha_i(t)} = \frac{-s_i(i_{s_i} \mathfrak{S}_{vu^{-1}})}{\alpha_i(t)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (vu^{-1} = s_i) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

Hence

$$\delta_i \Delta_u(\mathfrak{S}_v)(t; t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (u = s_i v, l(u) = l(v) - 1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases},$$

and

$$\delta_i \mathfrak{S}_v = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i v} & (l(s_i v) = l(v) - 1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

By induction on the length of w , we have the Proposition. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. By Proposition 4.6 and the previous Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau \mathfrak{S}_w &= \sum_{v \in W} \Delta_v(\tau \mathfrak{S}_w)(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x) \\
&= \sum_{v \in W} (-1)^{l(v)} (\tau \delta_v \mathfrak{S}_w)(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x) \\
&= \sum_{v \in W} (-1)^{l(v)} (\mathfrak{S}_{vw})(t; t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v(t; x) \\
&= (-1)^{l(w^{-1})} \mathfrak{S}_{w^{-1}}(t; x) \\
&= (-1)^{l(w)} \mathfrak{S}_{w^{-1}}(t; x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

7. ORDINARY VS EQUIVARIANT SCHUBERT CLASSES

The equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(G/B)$ recovers the ordinary one $H^*(G/B)$ by the augmentation map

$$r_1 : H_T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \frac{H_T^*(G/B)}{H^+(BT)} \cong H^*(G/B),$$

which maps the equivariant Schubert classes to the ordinary ones. Similarly in our algebraic setting, it is easily seen from the definition that

$$r_1 : \mathbb{R}_W[t; x] \ni f(t; x) \mapsto f(0; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[x]$$

maps the equivariant Schubert class \mathfrak{S}_w to the ordinary one σ_w .

We have another map with a similar property. In the topological setting, we can consider the following composition:

$$H_T^*(G/B) \xrightarrow{r_2} H_T^*(*) \cong H^*(BT) = H^*(BB) \xrightarrow{c^*} H^*(G/B),$$

where r_2 is $\frac{1}{|W|}$ -times the Becker-Gottlieb transfer for $EG \times_G G/B \rightarrow EG \times_G *$, and c^* is the induced map of the fiber inclusion $G/B \xrightarrow{c} BB \rightarrow BG$. Note that r_2 is known to be equal to Reynold's operator $z \mapsto \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w(z)$.

Similarly in our algebraic setting,

$$r_2 : \mathbb{R}_W[t; x] \ni f(t; x) \mapsto \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} f(t; w^{-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} f(t; w^{-1}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t].$$

Here $\sum_{w \in W} f(t; w^{-1}(x)) = \sum_{w \in W} f(t; w^{-1}(t))$ in $\mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ because $\sum_{w \in W} f(t; w^{-1}(x))$ is invariant under the action of W on x -variables.

Proposition 7.1. $r_2(\mathfrak{S}_{w^{-1}}(t; x)) = \sigma_w(-t)$.

Proof. Applying $\frac{1}{|W|} \Delta_{w^{-1}w_0}$ to the both hand sides of

$$\sum_{v \in W} \mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(v^{-1}(t); x) = \sum_{v \in W} \mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(v^{-1}(t); t) = \sum_{v \in W} i_v^*(\tau \mathfrak{S}_{w_0}) = (-1)^{l(w_0)} \sum_{v \in W} i_v^*(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}) = (-1)^{l(w_0)} \prod_{\beta \in \Pi^+} \beta = |W| \sigma_{w_0}(t)$$

yields

$$\frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{v \in W} \mathfrak{S}_w(v^{-1}(t); x) = \sigma_w(t).$$

Again, applying τ to the both hand sides of the above equation yields

$$\frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{v \in W} \mathfrak{S}_{w^{-1}}(t; v^{-1}(x)) = (-1)^{l(w)} \sigma_w(t) = \sigma_w(-t).$$

□

8. EXAMPLE

Presentation of Schubert classes $\mathfrak{S}_w(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}_W[t; x]$ has indeterminacy up to the ideal I_W . It is preferable to choose a simple and explicit presentation than the one given in Definition 4.3. For example, Lascoux and Schützenberger [17] defined the beautiful *double Schubert polynomial* for $W = A_{n-1}$ as

$$\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(t; x) = \prod_{i+j < n} (x_i - t_j).$$

We can also easily verify that the polynomial

$$\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(t; x) = c_n \prod_{i \geq j} (x_i - t_j) \prod_{i > j} (x_i + t_j)$$

is the top Schubert class for W of type B_n and C_n by Proposition 4.9, where

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 1/(-2)^n & (W = B_n) \\ (-1)^n & (W = C_n) \end{cases}.$$

Note that this representative is different as polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[t] \otimes \mathbb{R}[x]$ from the one given by Fulton and Pragacz [8], and Kresch and Tamvakis [15]; their constructions aim not only to represent Schubert classes but also to satisfy a lot of combinatorially desirable properties.

In this section, we try to find a simple presentation of the Schubert class \mathfrak{S}_w for the Coxeter group of non-crystallographic type $I_2(m)$ in view of Proposition 4.9. The facts about this group are summarized as follows:

- W is the dihedral group of order $2m$.
- W is generated by s_1, s_2 with $(s_1 s_2)^m = (s_2 s_1)^m = 1$.
- $s_1 s_2 = \beta_2$ and $s_2 s_1 = \beta_{-2}$, where β_k is the rotation by $k\theta$ ($\theta = \pi/m$).
- the simple roots are $\alpha_1 = t_1, \alpha_2 = \beta_{(m-1)}(t_1)$.
- the fundamental weights are $\omega_1 = \frac{t_1}{2} + \frac{t_2}{2 \tan \theta}, \omega_2 = \frac{t_2}{\sin \theta}$.
- the positive roots are $\beta_k(t_1)$ ($0 \leq k \leq m-1$).
- the longest element is $w_0 = \begin{cases} (s_1 s_2)^{m/2} & (m : \text{even}) \\ s_2 (s_1 s_2)^{(m-1)/2} & (m : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$.
- the double coinvariant ring is

$$\mathbb{R}_W[t; x] = \frac{\mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2]}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2, \operatorname{Re}(t_1 + \sqrt{-1}t_2)^m - \operatorname{Re}(x_1 + \sqrt{-1}x_2)^m)}$$

We define

$$h(t; x) = (x_1 - t_1) \prod_{\substack{k=0, \dots, m-1 \\ k \neq m/2}} (x_2 - \beta_{2k}(t_2)) \quad (m : \text{even})$$

and

$$h(t; x) = (x_1 - \beta_{m+1}(t_1)) \prod_{\substack{k=0, \dots, m-1 \\ k \neq (m+1)/2}} (x_2 - \beta_{2k}(t_2)) \quad (m : \text{odd}).$$

From the following facts:

- the W -orbit of x_2 is $\{\beta_{2k}(x_2) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$
- $w_0(x_2) = s_1 w_0(x_2) = \begin{cases} \beta_m(x_2) = -x_2 & (m : \text{even}) \\ \beta_{m+1}(x_2) & (m : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$
- $s_1 w_0(x_1) = \begin{cases} -\beta_m(x_1) = x_1 & (m : \text{even}) \\ \beta_{m+1}(x_1) & (m : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$,

we can easily verify that $i_w^* h(t; x)$ doesn't vanish iff $w = w_0$. Hence by Proposition 4.9, $h(t; x)$ is the top Schubert class up to constant.

Next, we give the multiplication table for the classes using the result obtained in §5. Put $w'_k \in W$ ($w''_k \in W$) be the element of length k whose reduced word ends with s_1 (respectively, s_2), so that $W = \{e = w'_0 = w''_0\} \sqcup \{w'_k, w''_k \mid 1 \leq k < m\} \sqcup \{w_0 = w'_m = w''_m\}$. Then Chevalley rule computes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_{w'_k} &= \frac{\sin((k+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} \mathfrak{S}_{w'_{k+1}} + (\omega_1(t) - \omega_1(w'^{-1}_k(t))) \mathfrak{S}_{w'_k} \\ \mathfrak{S}_2 \mathfrak{S}_{w'_k} &= \mathfrak{S}_{w''_{k+1}} + \frac{\sin(k\theta)}{\sin \theta} \mathfrak{S}_{w'_{k+1}} + (\omega_2(t) - \omega_2(w'^{-1}_k(t))) \mathfrak{S}_{w'_k} \\ \mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_{w''_k} &= \mathfrak{S}_{w'_{k+1}} + \frac{\sin(k\theta)}{\sin \theta} \mathfrak{S}_{w''_{k+1}} + (\omega_1(t) - \omega_1(w''^{-1}_k(t))) \mathfrak{S}_{w''_k} \\ \mathfrak{S}_2 \mathfrak{S}_{w''_k} &= \frac{\sin((k+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} \mathfrak{S}_{w''_{k+1}} + (\omega_2(t) - \omega_2(w''^{-1}_k(t))) \mathfrak{S}_{w''_k} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.1. Note that the Weyl group of type G_2 is $I_2(6)$ upto a length normalization in the positive roots.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- [2] I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gelfand and S.I. Gelfand, *Schubert cells and the cohomology of the spaces G/P* , L.M.S. Lecture Notes **69**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982, 115–140.
- [3] S. Billey, *Kostant polynomials and the cohomology ring for G/B* , Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), no. 1, 205–224.
- [4] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups. Second edition.*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [5] A. Borel, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 115–207.
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbre de Lie IV – VI*, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [7] M. Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 287–301.

- [8] W. Fulton and P. Pragacz, *Schubert Varieties and Degeneracy Loci*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1689** (1998).
- [9] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 25–83.
- [10] H. Hiller, *The geometry of Coxeter groups*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 54. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass.-London, 1982.
- [11] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, volume 29 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [12] S. Kaji, *Schubert calculus, seen from torus equivariant topology*, Trends in Mathematics - New Series, Volume 12, no.1, 71–90, 2010.
- [13] S. Kaji, *Equivariant Schubert calculus of Coxeter groups*, Proc. Stekelov. Inst. Math, vol.275, pp. 239–250, (2011).
- [14] Allen Knutson, *A Schubert calculus recurrence from the noncomplex W -action on G/B* , arXiv:math/0306304v1.
- [15] Andrew Kresch and Harry Tamvakis, *Double Schubert polynomials and degeneracy loci for the classical groups*, Annales de l’institut Fourier, **52** no. 6 (2002), 1681–1727
- [16] S. Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their Flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics **204**. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [17] A. Lascoux and M. Schützenberger, *Polynômes de Schubert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **294** (1982), no. 13, 447–450.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
 YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY
 1677-1, YOSHIDA, YAMAGUCHI 753-8512, JAPAN
 E-mail address: skaji@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp