

WEYL GROUP SYMMETRY ON THE GKM GRAPH OF A GKM MANIFOLD WITH AN EXTENDED LIE GROUP ACTION

SHIZUO KAJI

ABSTRACT. We consider the class of manifolds with compact Lie group actions which restrict to GKM-actions on the maximal torus. First, we see their GKM-graphs admit symmetry of the Weyl groups. And then, we study its combinatorial abstraction; starting with abstract GKM-graphs with symmetry, we derive certain properties which reflect topology in a purely combinatorial way.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be a compact n -dimensional torus acting on a closed oriented m -manifold M with finite fixed points. If M satisfies certain conditions (see §2), it is called a *GKM-manifold*. Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson ([4]) developed a powerful method to compute the torus equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(M; \mathbb{R})$ for a GKM-manifold M , by associating it a combinatorial data called the *GKM-graph*.

What we focus on in this note are the *GKM-manifolds with extended Lie group actions*. Let G be a compact, simple, simply-connected Lie group with the maximal torus T . If M admits a G -action whose restriction to T equips M a GKM-manifold structure, we call M a *GKM-manifold with an extended G -action* (see [9]). This class of manifolds includes interesting examples of flag varieties, and more generally, maximal rank homogeneous spaces. Our goal is to see what additional structures are imposed on the GKM-graph, and to what extent the topology of M is captured combinatorially.

The organization of this paper is as follows: After briefly recalling the GKM-theory in §2, we see in §3 that the GKM-graph of a GKM-manifold with an extended G -action has a symmetry of the Weyl group W of G , and that the localization map is compatible with it. In §4, we start with an abstract GKM-graph Γ with the symmetry of a finite Coxeter group W . We discuss the cohomology ring $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$ of the graph and derive its properties combinatorially. In particular, we see a necessary condition for Γ to admit the symmetry of W . Finally in §5, we see that with our definition of the W -symmetry, a series of operators on $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$ indexed by W are defined. They correspond topologically to the *left divided difference operators*, and $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$ is equipped with an action of the *nil-Hecke ring* ([8]). As an application of this fact, we obtain a retraction $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})^W$, which is reminiscent of the Becker-Gottlieb transfer ([1]) $\tau : H_T^*(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_G^*(M; \mathbb{R})$.

We assume that the coefficients for the cohomology ring is \mathbb{R} unless otherwise stated.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55N91; Secondary 57S15.

Key words and phrases. equivariant cohomology, Weyl group, GKM theory.

This work was supported by KAKENHI, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 22740051, and Bilateral joint research project with Russia (2010-2012), *Toric topology with applications in combinatorics*.

2. GKM THEORY

For a closed oriented manifold M with a T -action, we consider its T -equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(M) := H^*(ET \times_T M)$. Here $ET \times_T M$ is the Borel construction, which is the quotient space of $ET \times M$ by the equivalence relation $(u, m) \sim (ut^{-1}, tm)$, where $u \in ET, m \in M$, and $t \in T$. When M is a point, $H_T^*(pt) = H^*(BT)$ is the symmetric algebra over the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}^* of T .

M is said to be a GKM-manifold if it satisfies the following conditions:

- it admits a T -invariant almost complex structure
- it is *equivariant formal*, i.e., $H_T^*(M)$ is a free $H_T^*(pt)$ -module
- the fixed points set M^T is finite
- the weights of the isotropic T -action on the tangent space $T_p(M)$ are pairwise linearly independent for $\forall p \in M^T$

It follows (see [5]) that the set of the one-dimensional orbits $\{x \in M \mid \dim(Tx) = 1\}$ is the disjoint union $\sqcup_{\hat{e} \in \hat{E}} X_{\hat{e}}^o$, where \hat{E} is a finite index set and the closure $X_{\hat{e}}$ of each component $X_{\hat{e}}^o$ is diffeomorphic to S^2 containing exactly two fixed points e_p and e_q at the north and the south poles. Then, the *GKM-graph* $\Gamma(M) = (V, E, \alpha, \theta)$ for M is constructed as follows:

- The vertex set V is the fixed points set M^T .
- The edge set E ; for each two sphere $X_{\hat{e}}$ ($\hat{e} \in \hat{E}$), we draw an edge $e_p \rightarrow e_q$.
- The *axial function* $\alpha : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ is defined by assigning to $e_p \rightarrow e_q \in E$ the weight of the T -action on $T_{e_p}X_{\hat{e}}$. Note that α_e annihilates the Lie algebra of the stabilizer group of $X_{\hat{e}}$.

We graphically denote an edge with the value of axial function by $p \xrightarrow{\alpha(e)} q$.

- Let $\text{out}(p)$ be the set of the outgoing edges from $p \in V$. The restriction of TM around p splits into the sum of the plane bundles $\bigoplus_{e' \in \text{out}(e_p)} L_{e'}$, where the restriction of $L_{e'}$ at e_p is isomorphic to $T_{e_p}X_{\hat{e}'}$. For each edge $e \in E$, the *connection* $\theta_e : \text{out}(e_p) \rightarrow \text{out}(e_q)$ is the bijection which assigns to $e' \in \text{out}(e_p)$ the edge corresponding to $L_{e'}|_{e_q}$.

The relationship between the topology of a GKM-manifold and the combinatorics of its GKM-graph is bridged by the *localization map*. The inclusion map $i : M^T \hookrightarrow M$ is T -equivariant, and hence it induces a map on the equivariant cohomology. We call the induced map

$$i^* = \bigoplus_{p \in M^T} i_p^* : H_T^*(M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in M^T} H_T^*(p) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in M^T} H^*(BT)$$

the localization map. We often denote $i_p^*(h)$ by h_p for $h \in H_T^*(M)$.

The main result in [4] states that the GKM-graph determines the image of the localization map, and thus encodes the T -equivariant cohomology:

Theorem 2.1 ([4]). *The localization map gives the following isomorphism:*

$$H_T^*(M) \cong \left\{ \bigoplus_{p \in M^T} h_p \mid h_p \in H^*(BT), h_p - h_q \in (\alpha(e)) \text{ if } p \xrightarrow{\alpha(e)} q \right\},$$

where \mathfrak{t}^* is identified with $H^2(BT)$. Note that the right hand side is determined purely combinatorially, and is often called the *GKM-description* of the equivariant cohomology.

3. WEYL GROUP ACTION

Let M be a GKM-manifold with an extended G action, where G is a compact, simple, simply-connected Lie group with the maximal torus T . The Weyl group W of G is by definition $N(T)/T$, where $N(T)$ is the normalizer of the maximal torus in G . We denote the positive roots by Π^+ , and the reflection corresponding to $\alpha \in \Pi^+$ by $s_\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$.

We define a right action of W on $ET \times_T M$ by $w(u, x) = (uw, w^{-1}x)$, which in turn induces a left action on $H_T^*(M)$. In particular, W acts on $H_T^*(pt) = H^*(BT)$. If we regard $H^*(BT)$ as the symmetric algebra over $\mathfrak{t}^* = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$, the action is nothing but the standard action on the variables:

$$w(h)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = h(wt_1, \dots, wt_n), w \in W, h \in H^*(BT) = \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n].$$

Note that the W -action is trivial on $EG \times_G M$, and so is on $H_G^*(M)$.

Remark 3.1. The W action is not T -equivariant, and hence, it is well-defined only on $ET \times_T M$ but not on M .

We first investigate the W -action on $H_T^*(M)$ in terms of the GKM-description.

Lemma 3.2. *For $w \in W$, $p \in M^T$, and $h \in H_T^*(M)$, we have*

$$w(h)_p = w(h_{w^{-1}p}) \in H^*(BT).$$

Proof. By the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ET \times_T \{p\} & \xrightarrow{w} & ET \times_T \{w^{-1}p\} \\ \downarrow i_p & & \downarrow i_{w^{-1}p} \\ ET \times_T M & \xrightarrow{w} & ET \times_T M, \end{array}$$

we obtain $i_p^* \circ w = w \circ i_{w^{-1}p}^*$.

The GKM-graph $\Gamma(M)$ admits the following symmetry of W .

Theorem 3.3. (1) *If there is an edge $p \xrightarrow{\alpha(e)} q$, then so is $wp \xrightarrow{w(\alpha(e))} wq$.*
(2) *If $q = s_\alpha p$ for some $\alpha \in \Pi^+$, there is an edge $p \xrightarrow{\alpha} q$.*
(3) *$w(\theta_e(e')) = \theta_{w(e)}(w(e'))$ for all $e, e' \in E$ and $w \in W$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $T_p(M) = \bigoplus_{e \in \text{out}(p)} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha(e)}$, where \mathbb{C}_α is the complex one-dimensional T -module with the weight $\alpha(e)$. Then the tangent space at wp decomposes to $T_{wp}(M) = \bigoplus_{e \in \text{out}(p)} \mathbb{C}_{w\alpha(e)}$.

(2) Let $P_\alpha \subset G$ be the subgroup corresponding to the root α . The P_α -orbit of p is $P_\alpha/T \cong S^2$ with p and q as its north and south poles. Since, $T_p(P_\alpha/T) \cong \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ by definition, the assertion follows.

(3) The decomposition $\bigoplus_{e' \in \text{out}(p)} L_{e'}$ in the definition of θ is mapped by w to $\bigoplus_{e' \in \text{out}(p)} wL_{e'}$.

The relationship between the G -equivariant cohomology and the T -equivariant cohomology is summarized as follows.

Proposition 3.4. (1) $H_T^*(M) \cong H^*(BT) \otimes H^*(M)$ as $H^*(BT)$ -modules
(2) $H_G^*(M) \cong H^*(BG) \otimes H^*(M)$ as $H^*(BG)$ -modules

- (3) $H_T^*(M) \cong H^*(BT) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} H_G^*(M)$ as $H^*(BT)$ -algebras
(4) $H_G^*(M) \cong H_T^*(M)^W$ as $H^*(BG)$ -algebras

Proof. (1) Consider the fibration $M \hookrightarrow ET \times_T M \rightarrow BT$. Since $H_T^*(M)$ is a free $H^*(BT)$ -module by assumption, we have $H_T^*(M) \cong H^*(BT) \otimes H^*(M)$ by the Serre spectral sequence.

(2) By Borel's localization theorem, $H^*(M)$ should be concentrated in even degrees. Then by the Serre spectral sequen for the fibration $M \hookrightarrow EG \times_G M \rightarrow BG$, we have $H_G^*(M) \cong H^*(BG) \otimes H^*(M)$.

(3) Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & G/T & \xlongequal{\quad} & G/T \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M & \hookrightarrow & ET \times_T M & \longrightarrow & BT \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M & \hookrightarrow & EG \times_G M & \longrightarrow & BG
\end{array}$$

The Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence collapses at E_2 -term and we have $H_T^*(M) \cong H^*(BT) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} H_G^*(M)$ as $H^*(BT)$ -algebras. Note that there are no extension problem in this case.

(4) Since W acts on $H_G^*(M)$ trivially, the assertion follows from the previous isomorphism.

Example 3.5. We recover the well-known specialization formula for the double Schubert polynomials. Let M be the flag variety G/T , with the G -action induced by the left multiplication. The fixed point set of the action restricted to the maximal torus T is $\{wT/T \mid w \in W\}$. Since $H_G^*(G/T) = H^*(BT)$, we have $H_T^*(G/T) = H^*(BT) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} H^*(BT)$ by Proposition 3.4. It is well-known that $H_T^*(G/T)$ admits a free $H^*(BT)$ -module basis indexed by W called the *Schubert classes* (see, for example, [6]). Let $\mathcal{S}_w(t; x) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial representing a Schubert class $\mathcal{S}_w \in H_T^*(G/T)$, where t_i 's and x_i 's are the generators for the left and the right factors of $H^*(BT) \otimes H^*(BT)$. Then, the localization at a fixed point $v \in W$ is the specialization at $x_i = v^{-1}t_i$:

$$i_v^*(\mathcal{S}_w) = \mathcal{S}_w(t_1, \dots, t_n; vt_1, \dots, vt_n).$$

Proof. Since $EG \times_T \{*\} \rightarrow EG \times_G G/T$ induces the isomorphism $H^*(BT) \cong H_G^*(G/T)$, the localization map at the identity element i_e^* is the identity map on the right factor. Hence, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
i_v^*(\mathcal{S}_w) &= v \circ i_e^* \circ v^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_w) \\
&= v \circ i_e^* \mathcal{S}_w(v^{-1}t_1, \dots, v^{-1}t_n; x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= v \mathcal{S}_w(v^{-1}t_1, \dots, v^{-1}t_n; t_1, \dots, t_n) \\
&= \mathcal{S}_w(t_1, \dots, t_n; vt_1, \dots, vt_n).
\end{aligned}$$

4. ABSTRACT GKM-GRAPH WITH SYMMETRY

Modeled after the topological setting we have just seen, we consider an abstract GKM-graph with the symmetry of a finite Coxeter group.

Definition 4.1. Let t^* be the vector space generated on a basis t_1, \dots, t_n . A connected, finite, m -valent graph $\Gamma = (V, E, \alpha, \theta)$ with the following additional structures is said to be a GKM-graph:

- (1) The axial function $\alpha : E \rightarrow t^*$ satisfies that $\{\alpha(e) \mid e \in \text{out}(p)\}$ are pairwise linearly independent for all $p \in V$.
- (2) The connection θ assigns for each edge $e \in E$ a bijection between $\text{out}(e_p)$ and $\text{out}(e_q)$, satisfying that $\alpha(\theta_e(e')) - \alpha(e')$ is a scalar multiple of $\alpha(e)$ for any adjacent edges e and e' .

We say that Γ has the symmetry of a rank n finite Coxeter group W if W acts as an automorphism on the vertices and edges and further it satisfies

- (1) $\alpha(w(e)) = w(\alpha(e))$ for $\forall e \in E$.
- (2) If $q = s_\alpha p$ and $q \neq p$ for some $\alpha \in \Pi^+$, there is an edge $p \xrightarrow{\alpha} q$.
- (3) $w(\theta_e(e')) = \theta_{w(e)}(w(e'))$ for all $e, e' \in E$ and $w \in W$.

In the above, W action on t^* is given by the standard representation.

We see in §3, for a GKM manifold M with an extended action of a Lie group G , the GKM-graph $\Gamma(M)$ admits the symmetry of the Weyl group of G .

The cohomology of Γ is defined following Theorem 2.1:

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be the symmetric algebra over t^* , where the generators t_i 's are considered to be of degree two.

$$H^*(\Gamma) = \left\{ \bigoplus_{p \in V} h_p \mid h_p \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n], h_p - h_q \in (\alpha(e)) \text{ if } p \xrightarrow{\alpha} q \right\}$$

We consider $H^*(\Gamma)$ as a $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ -submodule of $\bigoplus_{p \in V} \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, where the module structure is diagonal.

Note that $\mathbf{1} = \bigoplus_{p \in V} 1$ is the generator for $H^0(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

If Γ has the symmetry of W , then W acts on the cohomology.

Lemma 4.3. For $h \in H^*(\Gamma)$, the element $w(h) \in \bigoplus_{p \in V} \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ defined by

$$(4.1) \quad w(h)_p = w(h_{w^{-1}p})$$

belongs to $H^*(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Suppose that there is an edge $p \xrightarrow{\alpha} q$. Then there should be an edge $w^{-1}p \xrightarrow{w^{-1}\alpha} w^{-1}q$. $w(h)_p - w(h)_q = w(h_{w^{-1}p} - h_{w^{-1}q})$ is divisible by $w \circ w^{-1}\alpha = \alpha$.

The action reduces trivially on the ‘‘ordinary cohomology.’’

Lemma 4.4. For $h \in H^*(\Gamma)$ and $\alpha \in \Pi^+$, we have

$$h - s_\alpha(h) \in (\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}).$$

Proof. Since

$$(h - s_\alpha(h))_p = h_p - s_\alpha(h_{s_\alpha p}) = (h_p - h_{s_\alpha p}) + (h_{s_\alpha p} - s_\alpha(h_{s_\alpha p}))$$

is divisible by α , the assertion follows.

Since $w_1 w_2(h) - h = (w_1 w_2(h) - w_2(h)) + (w_2(h) - h)$ for $w_1, w_2 \in W$, it follows that $w(h) - h \in (\mathbb{R}^+[t_1, \dots, t_n] \cdot \mathbf{1})$ for any $w \in W$, that is, W acts trivially on $H^*(\Gamma)/(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

Remark 4.5. Note that if Γ is a GKM-graph of some GKM G -manifold, it is an easy topological consequence; The fiber inclusions $\iota : M \rightarrow ET \times_T M$ and $w \circ \iota$ is homotopic since G is connected and there exists a path from (a representative of) w to the identity element. Therefore, they induces the same map $H_T^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M) \cong H_T^*(M)/(H^+(BT))$.

From this Lemma, we deduce a necessary condition for Γ to admit the symmetry of W . For this purpose, we define a graph theoretical analogy for torus invariant sub-manifolds. A connected subgraph Λ of Γ is said to be *closed under the connection* θ when $\theta_e(e') \in \Lambda$ for $\forall e, e' \in \Lambda$. Since θ_e is bijection for all $e \in E$, Λ is always a regular graph. Let Γ_k be the set of all the k -valent subgraphs which are closed under the connection. For $\Lambda \in \Gamma_k$, we obtain the class $\lambda \in H^{2m-2k}(\Gamma)$ which is supported on Λ by

$$\lambda_p = \begin{cases} \prod_{e \in \text{out}_p \setminus \Lambda} \alpha(e) & (p \in \Lambda) \\ 0 & (p \notin \Lambda) \end{cases}.$$

Note that $\lambda \in H^*(\Gamma)$ is clear from the definition of θ . Suppose that another class $\lambda' \in H^{2m-2k}(\Gamma)$ has the same support as λ , that is, $\lambda'_p \neq 0$ iff $p \in \Lambda$. Then it must be a scalar multiple of λ . To see this, observe that there exists $c_p \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $p \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda'_p = c_p \lambda_p$. Take $q \in \Lambda$ which is adjacent to p by an edge e . Then $(\lambda' - c_p \lambda)_q$ must be divisible by $\alpha(e) \prod_{e' \in \text{out}_q \setminus \Lambda} \alpha(e')$ and so must be 0 by degree reason. It follows that $c_q = c_p$, and by the connectivity of Λ all the c_p 's for $p \in \Lambda$ must be equal. Since W permutes Γ_k , we have

Proposition 4.6. *W acts on the set $\{\pm \lambda \in H^{2m-2k}(\Gamma) \mid \Lambda \in \Gamma_k\}$ as a signed permutation.*

In some cases, this imposes a cohomological restriction on what kind of W can act on Γ .

Example 4.7. Assume that the classes corresponding to Γ_{m-1} gives a free basis for $H^2(\Gamma)$. Since $H^2(\Gamma)$ contains $t^* \cdot \mathbf{1}$, the action is faithful. Furthermore, each reflection $s_\alpha \in W$ fixes all but one or two elements. To see this, first observe that the trace of the action of s_α on t^* is $n - 2$ since it is a reflection. In addition, by the previous Lemma, s_α acts trivially on $H^2(\Gamma)/t^*$. Therefore, the trace of the action of s_α on $H^2(\Gamma)$ must be two less than the rank of $H^2(\Gamma)$. It follows that we can choose a subset of $\{\pm \lambda \in H^2(\Gamma)\}$ of cardinality equal to or less than $2(n + 1)$, on which W acts faithfully as a signed permutation. In particular, such W is restricted to of classical types. (Readers also refer to [12] and [10]).

5. DIVIDED DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

In this section, we construct a series of operators on $H^*(\Gamma)$. Topologically, they coincide with the left divided difference operators.

First, we need the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.1. $\frac{h - s_\alpha(h)}{\alpha}$ is in $H^*(\Gamma)$.

Proof. First see

$$(h - s_\alpha(h))_p - (h - s_\alpha(h))_q = h_p - h_q + s_\alpha(h_{s_\alpha q} - h_{s_\alpha p}).$$

If $q \neq s_\alpha p$ and $p \xrightarrow{\beta} q$, it follows $s_\alpha p \xrightarrow{s_\alpha(\beta)} s_\alpha q$. Hence, both $h_p - h_q$ and $s_\alpha(h_{s_\alpha q} - h_{s_\alpha p})$ is divisible by β and since the labels are pairwise linearly independent, $\frac{(h - s_\alpha(h))_p}{\alpha} - \frac{(h - s_\alpha(h))_q}{\alpha}$ is divisible by β . If $q = s_\alpha p$ and $p \xrightarrow{\alpha} q$, we put $h_p - h_{s_\alpha p} = \alpha \cdot f$ with $f \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. Then we see

$$h_p - h_q + s_\alpha(h_{s_\alpha q} - h_{s_\alpha p}) = \alpha \cdot f + s_\alpha(\alpha \cdot f) = \alpha(f - s_\alpha(f))$$

is divisible by α^2 .

Now, we can define the operators.

Definition 5.2. The *left divided difference operator* associated to $\alpha \in \Pi^+$ is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha : H^*(\Gamma) &\rightarrow H^{*-2}(\Gamma) \\ h &\mapsto \frac{h - s_\alpha(h)}{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\partial_\alpha(h)_p = \frac{1}{\alpha}(h_p - s_\alpha h_{s_\alpha p}).$$

It is well-known (see [8], for example) that they satisfy the braid relation for W , and hence, we can define ∂_w for $w \in W$ as the composition $\partial_{\alpha_1} \partial_{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_l}$, where $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_l}$ is a reduced decomposition for w .

Let us recall the definition of the *nil-Hecke ring* from [8]: Let $S = \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be the symmetric algebra over \mathfrak{t}^* and Q be its quotient ring. We denote the smash product of the group ring $\mathbb{R}[W]$ and Q by Q_W . Then the nil-Hecke ring R is the sub ring of Q_W generated freely as a left S -module by ∂_w 's for $\forall w \in W$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Γ be a GKM-graph with the symmetry of a finite Coxeter group W (Definition 4.1). Then, $H^*(\Gamma)$ is a module over the nil-Hecke ring R .*

Example 5.4. When $M = G/T$, the left divided difference operator ∂_w exhibits the hierarchy of the Schubert classes: (We use the same notation as in Example 3.5.)

$$\partial_v(\mathcal{S}_w) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_{vw} & (v \leq w) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

This is easily seen by comparing the support, since the Schubert classes are known to be characterized by the upper-triangularity of the support (see, for example, [6]). Note that the operators here are so-called the *left* divided difference, and in [8] they consider the *right* divided difference.

Now we consider the W -invariant subalgebra of $H^*(\Gamma)$.

Definition 5.5. Let $H_W^*(\Gamma) = H^*(\Gamma)^W$. More explicitly, it is described as follows: Fix a set of representatives p_1, \dots, p_k for the right W -cosets $W \setminus V$ of $W \curvearrowright V$ and denote by $W^{p_i} = \{w \in W \mid wp_i = p_i\}$ the isotropy subgroup at p_i . Since, by (4.1), $h_{wp} = w(h_p)$ for $h \in H^*(\Gamma)^W$, we have

$$H_W^*(\Gamma) = \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^k h_{p_i} \mid h_{p_i} \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]^{W^{p_i}}, h_{p_i} - wh_{p_j} \in (\alpha(e)) \text{ if } \exists w \in W/W^{p_j} \text{ s.t. } p_i \xrightarrow{\alpha(e)} wp_j \right\}$$

Remark 5.6. Since $H_G^*(M) \cong H_T^*(M)^W$ by Proposition 3.4, we have $H_W^*(\Gamma(M)) \cong H_G^*(M)$. The above Definition gives a combinatorial description for $H_G^*(M)$.

As an application of the left divided difference operators, we can define the *Becker-Gottlieb transfer*. Let $w_0 \in W$ be the longest element.

Definition 5.7. Define

$$\tau : H^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_W^*(\Gamma)$$

by

$$\tau(h) = \partial_{w_0}(d\mathbf{1} \cdot h),$$

where $d = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi^+} \alpha$.

Then, we recover the famous theorem by Brumfiel and Madsen ([2]).

Proposition 5.8. τ is given by

$$\tau(h) = \sum_{w \in W} w(h)$$

In particular, $\frac{1}{|W|}\tau$ is a left inverse to the inclusion $\iota : H_W^*(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^*(\Gamma)$, that is, $\frac{1}{|W|}\tau \circ \iota$ is the identity map.

Proof. Fix a reduced expression $w_0 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_{l(w_0)}}$. It is well-known (see [3], for example) that

$$\partial_{w_0} = \alpha_1^{-1}(1 - s_{\alpha_1})\alpha_2^{-1}(1 - s_{\alpha_2}) \cdots \alpha_{l(w_0)}^{-1}(1 - s_{\alpha_{l(w_0)}}) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} w.$$

Since $w(d) = (-1)^{l(w)}d$, we have the assertion.

Example 5.9. In [7, §7], it is shown that

$$\frac{1}{|W|}\tau(\mathcal{S}_w) = (-1)^{l(w)} s_{w^{-1}},$$

where \mathcal{S}_w is the equivariant Schubert class associated to $w \in W$ and $s_{w^{-1}}$ is the ordinary Schubert class associated to $w^{-1} \in W$ as in Example 3.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Becker and D. H. Gottlieb: *The transfer map and fiber bundles*, Topology **14** (1975), 1–12.
- [2] G. Brumfiel and S. Madsen: *Evaluation of the transfer and the universal surgery class*, Invent Math. **32** (1976), 133–169.
- [3] M. Demazure: *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 287–301.
- [4] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson: *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 25–83.
- [5] V. Guillemin and C. Zara: *1-skeleta, Betti numbers, and equivariant cohomology*, Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. **107**, no. 2 (2001), 283–349.
- [6] S. Kaji: *Schubert calculus, seen from torus equivariant topology*, Trends in Mathematics - New Series Vol **12**, No. 1 (2010), 71–90.
- [7] S. Kaji: *Equivariant Schubert calculus of Coxeter groups*, Proc. Stekelov. Inst. Math, Vol **275** (2011), 239–250.
- [8] B. Kostant and S. Kumar: *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a KacMoody group G* , Adv. in Math., **62**, No. 3 (1986), 187–237.

- [9] S. Kuroki: *GKM graphs induced by GKM manifolds with $SU(l+1)$ -symmetries*, Trends in Mathematics - New Series Vol **12**, No. 1 (2010), 103–113.
- [10] M. Masuda: *Symmetry of a symplectic toric manifold*, J. Symplectic Geom. Vol **8**, No. 4, (2010), 359–380.
- [11] M. Masuda and T. Panov: *On the cohomology of torus manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **43**, No. 3, (2006), 711–746.
- [12] M. Wiemeler: *Torus manifolds with non-abelian symmetries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **364**, No. 3 (2012), 1427–1487.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY
1677-1, YOSHIDA, YAMAGUCHI 753-8512, JAPAN
E-mail address: skaji@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp